ig).



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/034,654 | 12/26/2001 | Scott R. Swix | BELL-0163/01330 | 1792 | |
| 23377 | 7590 11/19/2003 | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP | | | BUI, KIEU | BUI, KIEU OANH T | |
| ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR | | | ARTIBUT | DARED NUMBER | |
| 1650 MARKET STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | | 2611 | . 1 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003 | 11 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/034,654 SWIX ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner KIEU-OANH T BUI 2611 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KIEU-OANH T BUI. (2) KENNETH R. EIFERMAN. Date of Interview: 18 November 2003. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, and 13. Identification of prior art discussed: Hendricks et al. (Pat. No.6, 160, 989). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representation discussed about the inventive and showed the difference between the invention and Hendricks reference. The Examiner reccommanded to show the different features in the claim languages, and the applicant's representative will consider and send the amendmen in order to clarify the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. D. Kum

KRISTA BUI PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.